IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVN.APPLN.NO.275 OF 2007

Shri.Rajendra Raghunath Bhosale ..Applicant

Vs.

Shri.Kailash Kondiba Ghule and Anr. ..Respondents

...

Mr.V.B.Tapkir advocate for applicant
Mr.Rajendra Deshmukh, Advocate for Respondent No.1
Mrs.A.S.Pai A.P.P. for the State

...

**CORAM: SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.**
**DATE : JUNE 26, 2007**

**P.C.**

1. Heard the learned advocate for the applicant-original accused, the learned advocate for the Respondent No.1-original complainant and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. By judgment and order dated 9.5.2005 passed by the learned J.M.F.C. Pune in SCC/53/2003, the applicant has been convicted under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act, in relation to dishonour of cheque in the amount of Rs.1 lakh. Being aggrieved thereby, the appeal came to be

preferred before the Sessions Court, Pune which came to be dismissed. Being aggrieved thereby, the present revision has been preferred.

3. During the pendency of this revision, settlement has been arrived at between the parties and the complainant has filed affidavit stating that as the matter is amicably settled, he does not want to proceed against the applicant. The learned advocate for the Respondent No.1-original complainant states that Demand Drafts totalling to Rs.85,000/- have been handed over to him. The learned advocate for the complainant has prayed that in view of the above, the matter be compounded and the applicant be acquitted in the said case.

4. In view of these facts, the complaint bearing No.SCC/23 of 2003 is compounded and the applicant is acquitted in the said case. Revision is disposed of.

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.]